Michael S. Freeman, Colo. Bar # 030007 mfreeman@earthjustice.org Alison C. Flint, Colo. Bar # 41326 aflint@earthjustice.org EARTHJUSTICE 1400 Glenarm Pl., #300 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 623-9466 (phone) (303) 623-8083 (facsimile)

Henry Egghart, Nev. Bar #3401 1675 Lakeside Drive Reno, Nevada 89509 (775) 329-2705 (phone) (775) 852-5309 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Intervenors and Cross-claimants

UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,) 3:99-CV-00547-RLH-(WGC) ORDER GRANTING
v.) THE WILDERNESS SOCIETY et al.'s) UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
JOHN C., CARPENTER, and ELKO) CLARIFICATION OF SCHEDULING
COUNTY, NEVADA, et al.,	ORDER AND TO SET PAGE LIMITS FOR BRIEFING
Defendants,)
THE WILDERNESS SOCIETY and)
GREAT OLD BROADS FOR)
WILDERNESS,)
Intervenors and Cross-claimants.)

Intervenors and Cross-claimants The Wilderness Society and Great Old Broads for Wilderness (collectively, TWS) respectfully request clarification of the governing scheduling order (Dkt. # 393) with respect to TWS's filings in opposition to settlement agreement approval and in support of a motion for summary judgment on the cross-claims. TWS requests leave to file a single opening memorandum of points and authorities of no more than 50 pages, excluding exhibits, in opposition to settlement approval and in support of its motion for summary judgment on the cross-claims. Counsel for TWS has conferred with counsel for Plaintiff United States of America and counsel for Defendant Elko County, Nevada, who do not oppose this Motion.

The scheduling order provides that "[t]he parties shall file dispositive motions and supporting briefs addressing the cross-claims and issues related to the settlement agreement approval by February 8, 2012." Dkt. # 393 at 2. The scheduling order does not specify whether the parties shall file separate briefs addressing settlement approval and the cross-claims, nor does it prescribe page limits. Local Rule 7-4, however, limits points and authorities in support of or in response to motions to 30 pages, excluding exhibits. The Rule also limits reply points and authorities to 20 pages, excluding exhibits.

Because the issues addressed in the brief on settlement approval and the motion for summary judgment on the cross-claims overlap substantially, TWS believes it will be most efficient to address both components in a single opening memorandum of points and authorities. A combined filing, however, will require more than 30 pages to present the issues clearly and adequately. Accordingly, TWS requests leave to file a single opening memorandum of points and authorities of no more than 50 pages, excluding exhibits, in opposition to settlement approval and in support of its motion for summary judgment on the cross-claims.

To avoid the need for further clarification of the scheduling order, TWS also requests that the Court provide for similar combined filings on response and reply. TWS requests that the Court permit the parties to file combined response points and authorities of up to 50 pages, excluding exhibits, addressing both settlement approval and the cross-claims, and combined reply points and authorities of up to 30 pages each, excluding exhibits.

Respectfully submitted January 31, 2012.

s/ Michael S. Freeman

Michael S. Freeman, Colo. Bar # 030007 mfreeman@earthjustice.org Alison C. Flint, Colo. Bar # 41326 aflint@earthjustice.org 1400 Glenarm Pl., #300 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 623-9466 (phone) (303) 623-8083 (facsimile)

Henry Egghart, Nev. Bar # 3401 1675 Lakeside Drive Reno, NV 89509 (775) 329-2705 (phone) (775) 852-5309 (facsimile)

Attorneys for The Wilderness Society and Great Old Broads For Wilderness

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: February 1, 2012.